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Major development strategies in retrospect, 1960-2000


“Big push” capital-intensive investment
Integrated rural development
Structural adjustment; liberalization
Community-driven development
Community-empowerment
Untied budget support
Current thinking on “strategy”

- Emerging coalition for “big push” agricultural strategy
  - e.g., Sachs, Sanchez,…maybe Gates?
- Strong consensus about need for greater investment in public goods (infrastructure, crop science) and certain policy reforms
- Major debate with regard to what constitutes the right “enabling environment”
  - Food price support/stabilization
  - Input subsidies

- Many of these debates can be informed by a solid empirical understanding of how rural economies work
Organization of presentation:

1. Underappreciated “empirical regularities” of small farm agriculture in Africa
2. Discuss the implications of these findings for current policy debates

Six underappreciated aspects about African agriculture:

1. Farm sizes are declining → Huge land disparities → rural population is hardly growing → new demands on food marketing systems
2. Given plausible assumptions about productivity growth possibilities, grain productivity growth will be inadequate to kick-start growth in most of the region → diversification into higher-return activities will be crucial
3. Most farmers in the region are buyers of staple food → directly hurt by higher grain prices
4. Retail food prices are trending downward in most of the region
Six underappreciated aspects about African agriculture:

5. Supermarkets account for less than 4% of urban food expenditures in almost all African countries. Even with major growth in supermarket volume, investments in traditional marketing channels will remain much more important for small farmer and consumer welfare.

6. “Market liberalization” -- inaccurate description of situation in E&S Africa

Fact #1

- Emerging land pressures are generating fundamental challenges for poverty reduction and investment strategies.
Cultivated land per agricultural person (hectares)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Characteristics of smallholder farmers, Zambia 1999/00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N=</th>
<th>Farm size (ha)</th>
<th>Asset values (US$)</th>
<th>Gr. Rev., maize sales (US$)</th>
<th>Gr. Rev., crop sales (US$)</th>
<th>Total hh income (US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top 50% of maize sales</td>
<td>23,680</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1,558</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>2,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of maize sellers</td>
<td>234,988</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(23%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households not selling maize</td>
<td>762,566</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(75%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rural population growth rates

![Bar chart showing rural population growth rates for various countries.](chart.png)

**Note:** Annual growth %, 1998-2000
More than 50% of Africa’s population will be urban by 2015.

- 2000: 10 farm households feed 7 non-farm households
- 2020: 10 farm households feed 16 non-farm households

Upshot: urban demand for food is rising rapidly
Are imported wheat and rice crowding out domestically-produced grain?

- 3.6% annual growth in cereal imports
- Of total grain imports by African countries, only 5% is produced by African farmers
- Growth in urban demand is being met mainly by imported rice and wheat

Importance of Imported Staples in Nairobi Expenditure Patterns

Figure 7: Expenditure on Primary Staples (KSh per a.e/month)
Fact #2

- Given plausible assumptions about new technology development, farm sizes are too small for grain-based productivity growth to lift most rural households out of poverty
- Hence, diversification into higher-return activities will be crucial
- This transition is already occurring

Role of maize in small farm incomes is declining (share of gross sales revenue)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maize</th>
<th>Other grains/beans/oilseeds</th>
<th>Non-food cash crops</th>
<th>Fruits - veges</th>
<th>Animal products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozam</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fact #3

- Most rural farm households are buyers of maize (or net buyers)
Fact #3

- Most rural farm households are buyers of maize (or net buyers)
- 2% of households account for 50% of marketed grain surplus
- Crop price supports:
  - highly concentrated benefits
  - anti-poor
  - Most likely impede small farm diversification into higher-valued activities

Fact #4

- Retail maize meal prices are trending downward
**[D] Nairobi: Price trends**

Linear trend (meal): -0.572***

Linear trend (grain): -0.1060

US$ per ton

Year/Month


Wholesale maize grain  Retail maize meal

Linear-trend-grain  Linear-trend-meal

*** 1% level of significance

---

**Lusaka: Price trends**

Wholesale grain  Breakfast meal

Linear-trend-grain  Linear-trend-meal
Fact #4

- Retail maize meal prices are trending downward
- Why?
  - Food market reform has encouraged rapid investment in informal, small-scale milling and trading networks
  - The informal channel exerts competitive pressure on commercial millers/retailers
  - Exception: South Africa
Fact #5

- The performance of "traditional" food systems will remain a much more important determinant of farmer welfare and consumer food security than "supermarkets"

- Hence, focus investment priorities on improving the performance of traditional food marketing systems
  - linking traditional with new agribusiness systems
Retail sources of consumer staple food expenditures, Nairobi

Even with 20% annual growth of supermarkets, in relatively progressive Kenya, in 10 years, the supermarket share will be:

12.4% market share in 2016.
Fact #6

- Major misunderstanding of the staple food and input market policy environment
  - “liberalization” – a misnomer
  - Marketing boards continue to play major role in food and input markets
    - Handle 25-60% of marketed maize in Zambia, Kenya, Malawi, Zimbabwe
  - Policy uncertainty

Sources of Policy Unpredictability

- Export bans, import quotas (year to year & within year)
- Uncertainty over changes in import tariff rates
- When and where will marketing boards enter the market
  - Current example: Zambia 2006
- Prices at which the MBs buy and sell unpredictable
- Farmer & trader inventory carrying risks are high
- All of these sources of unpredictability impede private traders’ servicing small farmers’ needs
Sources of Policy Unpredictability

• Why does it matter how we characterize the market environment over past 15 years?
• It matters a great deal
Where from here?

- Implications of:
  - > 50% of rural farm households have < 1 hectare and are extremely poor
  - > 50% of rural farm households are net buyers of staple food
  - Massive rural-to-urban migration: massive under-employment
  - but lacking the human capital to contribute productively to society

---

Much research evidence documents high returns to investment in

1. R & D: (Alston, Grilliches, Mellor)
2. Education: turns information into knowledge (Johnston)
3. Extension systems: farm management (Evenson)
4. Infrastructure: road, rail, port, communications (Antle)
5. Investments in health and addressing HIV/AIDS (Binswanger)
Budget allocation to Agricultural Sector in Zambia: ZMK465 million in 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Emoluments</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational funds</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Development</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Reserve Agency Maize Marketing</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Security Pack &amp; EDRP</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer Support Program</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zambia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fertilizer source:</th>
<th>Total Income</th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Landholding size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household not acquiring fertilizer</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash purchases from private retailers</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Fertilizer Support Program (50% subsidy)</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Political economy of public resource allocation

- Long-term productive investments: R&D, extension, irrigation, etc.
- High social payoffs
  - But payoffs come 5-20 later
  - Critical for sustained poverty reduction

- Fertilizer subsidies,
  - Marketing board price supports,
  - Land bills, food aid
- Immediate political payoffs;
  - Visible support to constituencies
  - Contribution to sustained growth / poverty reduction is unclear

- Spending 70% of agricultural budget on input/output subsidies is most likely a regressive mis-use of budget resources with questionable long-term payoffs
- Opportunity costs
As massive as the poverty problems are now, they will be much greater unless budgets are re-allocated sooner or later to investments that will make the economy productive in the long-term:

- Population growth w/o productivity growth → civil strife
- Not a viable option to have more and more “failed states” in Africa

Major Challenge:

- how best to encourage governments to reallocate public budgets toward crucial investments with long-term payoffs instead of investments with short-term payoffs with limited impact on L.T. development?
- Future of ‘untied’ budget support?
Farmer groups

- Organizing small farmers into viable groups will be crucial for poverty reduction and agricultural growth
- Millions of remote farms < 1 hectare have major problems with access to
  - credit and inputs
  - extension services, soil testing
  - market outlets
- Reducing the transaction costs of linking small farms to markets and services will require aggregating farm units into groups

Getting Markets Right:
What does this mean?

- Not getting government out of markets
- Changing the role of government from direct intervention to supportive investments to make markets work
  - Public goods investment
  - Support development of farmer organizations
  - Create “stable” policy environment: Clear, rule-based public operations in markets
  - Commodity risk management tools (e.g. warehouse receipt systems)
  - Greater transparency and consultation needed between private and public sectors
Policy response (cont.)

• Lobby forcefully for more level playing field in international trade
  – OECD support for Africa: $50 bill./yr
  – OECD ag. subsidies: $350 bill./yr
  – Reassess developed country policy of dumping free food in Africa under guise of “food for development”

thank you