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Effective Response to HIV/AIDS Requires Knowledge of:

• How individuals, households, & communities respond to AIDS-related illness and mortality
• 20+ years after the onset of the disease, the empirical foundation for the design of programs is still weak
Major Research & Policy Questions:

• If Donors Provided an Additional $500 million to Combat AIDS, how should it be allocated:
  – To ARV treatment?
  – To improved nutrition programs?
  – To agricultural & rural development?
  – To investment in vaccines?
  – To community-driven development programs?

Outline

• PART I: what do we know about how households respond to prime-age death

• PART II: broader trends affecting the appropriateness of various responses to HIV/AIDS

• PART III: consideration of “response strategies” to improve resistance / resilience
### Characteristics of MSU household surveys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Year(s) of surveys</th>
<th>Panel or cross-sectional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>n=1422, n=1266</td>
<td>1997, 2000, 2002</td>
<td>Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>n=420, n=372</td>
<td>1990, 2002</td>
<td>Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>n=4908</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>n=1395</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>n=6922</td>
<td>2001, 2004</td>
<td>Panel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig 1: Correlation between provincial rural adult mortality rates and HIV+ Prevalence rates, Zambia**

![Graph showing correlation between rural adult mortality rates and HIV+ prevalence rates in Zambia](image)

Source: CSO/MACO/FSRP PHS 1999/2000 and SS, 2001 and 2004. $r^2 = 0.84$
Finding #1

Afflicted households/individuals are not random
- Early 1990s: positively correlated with income, wealth, education, mobility
- Still the case in some countries (e.g., Zambia)
- Recent evidence in other countries: increasingly concentrated among the poor (e.g., Kenya, South Africa)


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deceased prime-age males</th>
<th>Deceased prime-age females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poorest 25%</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd quartile</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd quartile</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealthiest 25%</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finding 2: 60% of PA mortality is women

Prevalence of PA mortality, by sex and income, Zambia, 2001-2004

Finding #3

- Disproportionate share of the prime-age deaths are:
  - 15-30 year old daughters living with their parents
  - Not primarily household heads / spouses
Finding #4

• Married men and women are one-third as likely to suffer a disease-related death than single men and women

Finding #5

• Men and women who spent more than 1 months away from home were 2 and 3 times likely to die than those living at home throughout the year
Finding #6: Certain factors affect the magnitude of impacts on households

- Strong evidence that impacts depend on:
  - Initial level of household vulnerability (assets, wealth)
  - Sex of the deceased
  - Position in household of deceased
  - Ability of household to attract new members
  - Characteristics of adults remaining in household (e.g., skills, education level)

Finding 7: Effects of Death on Farm Production Sensitive to Gender, Position of Deceased in Household

- Death of Male hh-head $\Rightarrow$ 48% reduction in value of crop output
- Death of Female head/spouse $\Rightarrow$ less dramatic but still negative effects
- Why Effects of Male Prime Age Mortality are Greater?
  - Loss of female ag. labor to caregiving
  - Loss of higher-return crops
- Death of other hh member – insignificant effects on ag.
Finding 8: For afflicted households, cash constraints often become the limiting factor in crop production

• Drawing non-resident members back to the farm can sever off-farm income sources
  – Kenya: death of head or spouse associated with $120 and $260 per year reduction in off-farm income

Finding 9: Effects Most Severe on the Poor

• Very few significant effects detected among households in top half of asset distribution
• Effects on ag production and non-farm income were larger and more highly significant among the poor
Part 2: Major Exogenous Trends Influencing Policy Options for AIDS Mitigation

- Population growth trends
- Decreasing farm size
- Rural → urban migration ("push" effect)
  - underemployment in burgeoning informal sector
- Partial dismantling of fertilizer + maize production subsidies in E. and S. Africa

Projected Population in the 7 Most Highly Affected Countries, "With AIDS" vs. No-AIDS Scenario, by Sex and Age Group, 2025.
Trend #2: Evidence of population shifting into agriculture, 1990 and 2000 Census, Zambia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>+33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban pop</td>
<td>+18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural pop:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agricultural</td>
<td>+43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-agric.</td>
<td>- 47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implications - I

• Not clear that afflicted households need or should be urged to use:
  – labor-saving crops

• Why?
  – crops that reduce labor input per acre may sacrifice income and food produced per acre
  – Must take into account population density and extent of under-employed labor
  – Conventional wisdom hasn’t adequately recognized the effect of underemployment in informal sector on urban → rural labor migration
PART 3: What To Do?

1. Assist in creation of *capital assets* in agricultural production
   - Loss of cash likely to be *the* greatest threat to maintenance (or improvement) in agricultural productivity
2. Promote *out-grower arrangements* for interlocked credit-input-crop sale, with specific arrangements for female-headed households
   - e.g., remove restriction on title deed
   - Use the cash crop scheme as mechanism for firm to recover input loans for food crops
   - Will help improve afflicted households’ access to cash inputs

3. Need to Overcome Gender Barriers to Women’s Participation in Training Programs for Cash Crops
   - Experience with master farmer training of cash crop husbandry practices for women
What to do? (continued)

4. Skill training programs targeted at young women and widows may reduce economic need for risky behaviors
   - “do you want us to die now or die later”?
   - BUT: evidence is not showing that female mortality is inversely related to income/wealth

What to do? (continued)

5. Modify rules governing women’s rights and access to resources
   - e.g. work with communities to recognize that it is in the communities’ interest for widows to retain access to land after husband’s death
   - Will require shifts in consciousness
   - Recognition that communities’ resilience to AIDS will require more equality for vulnerable groups.
Need for appropriate balance between:

- Investing in long-term productivity growth (education, infrastructure, markets)
- Targeted assistance to affected HHs
- Poverty and HIV/AIDS are mutually reinforcing \(\rightarrow\) hence pro-poor productivity growth is crucial
- Resources are scarce: which investments provide greatest benefits?