

Table 1. Smallholder Landholding Size Per Household in Zambia by Province and Alternative Farm Size Definition, 1999/2000 (Draft for review Oct 27, 2009) FSRP

Province and Farm Size Definition	Quartiles of Landholding Size Per Household				Mean
	1 st Quartile bottom 25%	2 nd Quartile	3 rd Quartile	4 th Quartile top 25%	
-----Hectares per household where applicable-----					
Central - cultivated + fallow - ha	.58	1.32	2.32	6.99	2.81
-hh with fallow fields - %	10 %	32%	52 %	84 %	45 %
-hh with virgin land - %	5 %	5 %	13 %	6 %	7 %
<i>-Central all land - ha</i>	<i>.70</i>	<i>1.43</i>	<i>2.75</i>	<i>7.32</i>	<i>3.05</i>
Copperbelt - cultivated + fallow -	.67	1.38	2.38	5.81	2.55
-hh with fallow fields - %	14 %	41 %	64 %	71 %	47 %
-hh with virgin land - %	7 %	16 %	24 %	29 %	19 %
<i>-Copperbelt all land - ha</i>	<i>.90</i>	<i>1.94</i>	<i>4.03</i>	<i>7.77</i>	<i>3.64</i>
Eastern - cultivated + fallow - ha	.64	1.23	1.86	3.73	1.87
-hh with fallow fields - %	8 %	20 %	31 %	47 %	27 %
-hh with virgin land - %	16 %	18 %	21 %	27 %	20 %
<i>-Eastern all land -ha</i>	<i>.88</i>	<i>1.54</i>	<i>2.28</i>	<i>4.31</i>	<i>2.26</i>
Luapula - cultivated + fallow - ha	.55	1.21	1.86	3.60	1.81
-hh with fallow fields - %	37 %	75 %	90 %	95 %	74 %
-hh with virgin land - %	25 %	34 %	35 %	38 %	33 %
<i>-Luapula all land - ha</i>	<i>1.04</i>	<i>1.75</i>	<i>2.79</i>	<i>4.96</i>	<i>2.65</i>
Lusaka - cultivated + fallow - ha	.31	.69	1.31	4.75	1.74
-hh with fallow fields - %	0 %	4 %	45 %	70 %	28 %
-hh with virgin land - %	0 %	1 %	6 %	8 %	4 %
<i>Lusaka all land - ha</i>	<i>.38</i>	<i>.81</i>	<i>1.40</i>	<i>5.01</i>	<i>1.87</i>
Northern - cultivated + fallow - ha	.70	1.67	2.70	5.69	2.70
-hh with fallow fields - %	35 %	69 %	71 %	87 %	66 %
-hh with virgin land - %	54 %	75 %	72 %	77 %	69 %
<i>-Northern all land - ha</i>	<i>2.41</i>	<i>4.83</i>	<i>6.44</i>	<i>11.58</i>	<i>6.33</i>
Northwestern - cultivated + fallow	.42	.87	1.43	3.03	1.44
-hh with fallow fields - %	2 %	20 %	57 %	69 %	37 %
-hh with virgin land - %	6 %	6 %	11 %	9 %	8 %
<i>-Northwestern all land - ha</i>	<i>.53</i>	<i>1.02</i>	<i>1.74</i>	<i>3.32</i>	<i>1.66</i>
Southern - cultivated + fallow - ha	.44	1.22	2.38	5.70	2.44
-hh with fallow fields - %	6 %	25 %	53 %	66 %	38 %
-hh with virgin land - %	2 %	0 %	2 %	4 %	2 %
<i>-Southern all land - ha</i>	<i>.54</i>	<i>1.26</i>	<i>2.53</i>	<i>6.35</i>	<i>2.67</i>
Western - cultivated + fallow - ha	.37	.75	1.22	2.72	1.26
-hh with fallow fields - %	8 %	30 %	45 %	67 %	37 %
-hh with virgin land - %	8 %	11 %	15 %	27 %	15 %
<i>-Western all land - ha</i>	<i>.48</i>	<i>.95</i>	<i>1.55</i>	<i>3.44</i>	<i>1.61</i>
National - cultivated + fallow - ha	.55	1.23	2.03	4.62	2.11
-hh with fallow fields - %	16 %	39 %	56 %	72 %	46 %
-hh with virgin land - %	18 %	24 %	27 %	30 %	25 %
<i>-National all land - ha</i>	<i>1.03</i>	<i>2.01</i>	<i>3.13</i>	<i>6.29</i>	<i>3.12</i>

Source: CSO Supplementary Survey to the Post-Harvest Survey of 1999/2000.

Notes. All numbers are weighted

Table 2. Smallholder Landholding Size Per Household in Zambia by Province and Alternative Farm Size Definition, 2007/2008 (Draft for review Oct 27, 2009) FSRP

Province and Farm Size Definition	Quartiles of Landholding Size Per Household				Mean
	1 st Quartile bottom 25%	2 nd Quartile	3 rd Quartile	4 th Quartile top 25%	
-----Hectares per household where applicable-----					
Central - cultivated + fallow - ha	.52	1.20	2.01	5.62	2.33
-hh with fallow fields - %	1 %	9 %	20 %	41 %	18 %
-hh with virgin land - %	2 %	3 %	8 %	3 %	4 %
-Central all land ha	.68	1.40	5.14	6.83	3.49
Copperbelt - cultivated + fallow -	.40	.88	1.49	4.00	1.68
-hh with fallow fields - %	3 %	12 %	38 %	58 %	28 %
-hh with virgin land - %	33 %	28 %	46 %	60 %	42 %
-Copperbelt all land - ha	1.94	1.98	4.83	10.45	4.79
Eastern - cultivated + fallow - ha	.67	1.25	1.89	3.98	1.97
-hh with fallow fields - %	5 %	14 %	23 %	38 %	20 %
-hh with virgin land - %	7 %	9 %	17 %	21 %	14 %
-Eastern all land -ha	.83	1.47	2.23	4.82	2.36
Luapula - cultivated + fallow - ha	.44	.98	1.65	3.51	1.62
-hh with fallow fields - %	19 %	41 %	66 %	86 %	53 %
-hh with virgin land - %	4 %	7 %	19 %	18 %	12 %
-Luapula all land - ha	.92	1.27	2.57	4.66	2.32
Lusaka - cultivated + fallow - ha	.34	.68	1.19	3.05	1.33
-hh with fallow fields - %	2 %	20 %	33 %	48 %	25 %
-hh with virgin land - %	4 %	8 %	14 %	20 %	11 %
-Lusaka all land - ha.	.44	.73	1.31	3.46	1.51
Northern - cultivated + fallow - ha	.41	.95	1.56	3.41	1.58
-hh with fallow fields - %	4 %	13 %	23 %	43 %	21 %
-hh with virgin land - %	11 %	16 %	18 %	27 %	18 %
-Northern all land - ha	.71	1.70	3.56	5.74	2.95
Northwestern - cultivated + fallow	.43	.86	1.34	3.07	1.43
-hh with fallow fields - %	6 %	13 %	43 %	61 %	30 %
-hh with virgin land - %	10 %	6 %	20 %	31 %	17%
-Northwestern all land - ha	.55	.99	1.78	4.71	2.03
Southern - cultivated + fallow - ha	.63	1.54	2.95	7.45	3.11
-hh with fallow fields - %	5 %	27 %	43 %	65 %	34 %
-hh with virgin land - %	8 %	14 %	14 %	28 %	16 %
-Southern all land - ha	.93	2.10	3.77	10.32	4.24
Western - cultivated + fallow - ha	.37	.83	1.45	3.14	1.42
-hh with fallow fields - %	6 %	15 %	34 %	53 %	27 %
-hh with virgin land - %	4 %	6 %	14 %	19 %	11 %
-Western all land - ha	.43	.89	1.56	3.65	1.63
National - cultivated + fallow - ha	.50	1.08	1.80	4.26	1.91
-hh with fallow fields - %	6 %	18 %	33 %	53 %	27 %
-hh with virgin land - %	8 %	11 %	17 %	23 %	15 %
-National all land - ha	.79	1.46	3.08	6.01	2.83

Source: CSO Supplementary Survey to the Post-Harvest Survey of 2007/2008.

Notes. All numbers are weighted